

C&S Companies 499 Col. Eileen Collins Blvd. Syracuse, NY 13212 p: (315) 455-2000 f: (315) 455-9667 www.cscos.com

DATE: May 28, 2020

TO: William Scriber Port of Oswego Authority 1 East Second Street Oswego, New York 13126 Phone: (315) 343-4503 e-mail: wscriber@portoswego.com

RE: Resiliency and Economic Development Initiative (REDI) Projects Port of Oswego, Oswego County, New York 3RED01, CO.107 – EAST WHARF OPERATING DOCK 3RED03, CO.109 – LEHIGH CEMENT 3RED04, CO.11 – PORT AUTHORITY MARINA RESTORATION 3RED06, CO.12 – PORT AUTHORITY WEST PIER – SPRAGUE ENERGY SEQRA Classification Letter

Dear Mr. Scriber:

There has been excessive damage to the Port of Oswego due to high water and wave impacts. If nothing is done the port and businesses within the port will be adversely affected. The following projects have been proposed:

- a. PIN 3RED01: The location is at the North end of the Port Authority East Operating Dock. The existing stone retaining wall, due to higher lake levels, is no longer able to break waves, resulting in a breach of the wall and direct undercutting the main dock.
- b. PIN 3RED03: The location is at a portion of dock along the West side of the waterfront where the Oswego River discharges into Lake Ontario by the Lehigh Cement Facility. There is a failure in the South end of the dock where it meets the Sprague retaining wall.
- c. PIN 3RED04: The project will install additional floating dock kits and make various electrical improvements. The electrical work will involve modifications of the dock electrical services in tandem with floating docks and/or relocation of facility infrastructure affected by rising water levels.
- d. PIN 3RED06: The project will repair portions of the shoreline along the west pier from the Oswego River outlet to Lake Ontario. The existing shoreline will be repaired using stone fill, rip-rap, and other large stones to mitigate the erosion and stabilize the shoreline for future high water and excessive wave action.

The project disturbances will be limited to only that needed to complete the projects within the current or slightly enlarged footprint.

These Projects have been classified under the NY State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) as Type II actions, per 6 NYCRR Section 617.5(c). This regulation permits the Projects to be classified as Type II since the Projects are of a scale and scope illustrated by the following:

(2) replacement, rehabilitation or reconstruction of a structure or facility, in kind, on the same site, including upgrading buildings to meet building or fire codes, unless such action meets or exceeds any of the thresholds in Section 617.4 of this Part.

The Projects meet the above criteria and therefore may be classified as Type II under SEQRA. Based on the classification of the Projects as Type II under SEQRA, no further SEQRA compliance is required. If you have any SEQRA related questions please contact me at jstrong@cscos.com.

Sincerely,

Justin Strong Project Environmental Scientist

cc: Mr. James Craig, Managing Engineer, C&S Engineers, Inc.

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project Information

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 – Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 – Project and Sponsor Information			
Resiliency and Economic Development Initiative Projects: Port of Oswego			
Name of Action or Project:			
Resiliency and Economic Development Initiative Projects:			
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):			
Port of Oswego, City of Oswego, NY			
Brief Description of Proposed Action:			
There has been excessive damage to the Port of Oswego and if nothing is done the port and PIN 3RED01: The location is at the North end of the Port Authority East Operating Dock. The no longer able to break waves, resulting in a breach of the wall and direct undercutting the material PIN 3RED03: The location is at a portion of dock along the West side of the waterfront where Lehigh Cement Facility. There is a failure in the South end of the pier wall. PIN 3RED04: The project will install additional floating dock kits and make various electrical in modifications of the dock electrical services in tandem with floating docks and/or relocation of PIN 3RED06: The project will repair portions of the shoreline along the west pier from the Osw will be repaired using stone fill, rip-rap, and other large stones to mitigate the erosion and stational wave action.	existing stone retaining wall, of ain dock. the Oswego River discharges nprovements. The electrical w facility infrastructure affected wego River outlet to Lake Onta	due to higher lake levels, is into Lake Ontario by the vork will involve by rising water levels. ario. The existing shoreline	
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Telephone: 315-343-4503	3 ext. 109	
Mr. William Scriber, Executive Director: Port Authority of Oswego	E-Mail: wscriber@portoswego.com		
Address:			
1 East 2nd Street			
City/PO: State:		Zip Code:	
Oswego	NY	13126	
 Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, loca administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the e may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to quest 	nvironmental resources the	at NO YES	
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other government Agency? NO YES			
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval: USACE NWP#3 and #13 NYSDEC Application for General Permit GP-0-19-003 Authorization			
3. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? 1.60 acres b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? 4.18 acres c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned 4.18 acres			
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?	34.65 acres		
 4. Check all land uses that occur on, are adjoining or near the proposed action: 5. □ Urban □ Rural (non-agriculture) ☑ Industrial □ Commercia □ Forest □ Agriculture ☑ Aquatic □ Other(Specence) 	× ×	ban)	
Parkland			

5. Is the proposed action,	NO	YES	N/A
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?			\checkmark
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?			\checkmark
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?	, ,	NO	YES
o. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built of natural fandscape.			\checkmark
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area?		NO	YES
If Yes, identify:		\checkmark	
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
b. Are public transportation services available at or near the site of the proposed action?		$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near the site of the proposed action?			
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?		NO	YES
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:			
		\checkmark	
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water:			
		\checkmark	
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:			
		\checkmark	
12. a. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district	ct	NO	YES
which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the		\checkmark	
State Register of Historic Places?	2		
b. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?			
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?		NO	YES
			\checkmark
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?			\checkmark
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:			
03RED01 ONLY - The project will involve placing rip/rap stone below the ordinary high water mark for Lake Ontario. 500 sq ft. No impacts for 03RED03, 03RED04 and 03RED06.			

14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply:		
Shoreline Forest Agricultural/grasslands Early mid-successional		
□Wetland 🗹 Urban □ Suburban		
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or	NO	YES
Federal government as threatened or endangered? Lake Sturgeon		\checkmark
16. Is the project site located in the 100-year flood plan?	NO	YES
		\checkmark
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?	NO	YES
If Yes,	\checkmark	
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?		
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? If Yes, briefly describe:		
18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water	NO	YES
or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? If Yes, explain the purpose and size of the impoundment:		
	\checkmark	
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:	\checkmark	
20.Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:		
Property ID: 17301: WEST SIDE ONLY (The two 2 acre site is owned by the City of Oswego with an extensive history of industrial and		\checkmark
commercial activity). The site is adjacent to PIN 3RED03 & 3RED06. The site was cleaned up in 2009.	<u></u>	
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BE MY KNOWLEDGE	STOF	
Applicant/sponsor/name: Date:		
Signature:Title:		



Part 1 / Question 7 [Critical Environmental Area]	No
Part 1 / Question 12a [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites]	No
Part 1 / Question 12b [Archeological Sites]	Yes
Part 1 / Question 13a [Wetlands or Other Regulated Waterbodies]	Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Part 1 / Question 15 [Threatened or Endangered Animal]	Yes
Part 1 / Question 15 [Threatened or Endangered Animal - Name]	Lake Sturgeon
Part 1 / Question 16 [100 Year Flood Plain]	Yes
Part 1 / Question 20 [Remediation Site]	Yes